	With
1 1	VVILI

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission from  Catherine O'Brier  I recommend that seemed the submission of the	eason(s): No new material issues
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for re	ply.
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 no submission	otice enclosing a copy of the attached
to: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	Date:

	<b>S. 37</b> File With
CORRESPON	DENCE FORM
Appeal No: ABP 314485-22	
M	_
Please treat correspondence received on	0110412024 as follows:
<ol> <li>Update database with new agent for Applicant</li> <li>Acknowledge with BP 23</li> <li>Keep copy of Board's Letter</li> </ol>	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP  2. Keep Envelope:
	3. Keep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Catherine O'B	ien response to 5.131
12/03/24:02/04/24/	
4. Attach to file  (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO

	Plans Date Stamped
	Date Stamped Filled in
EO: St &	AA: Anthony McNally
Date: 17/64/2024	Date: 25/04/2024

## **Dan Wigglesworth**

From:

Bord

Sent:

Tuesday 2 April 2024 09:59

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: Case Number ABP-314485-22 - Observations

**Attachments:** 

Case No ABP-314485-22.pdf

From: catherine o'brien <cmpobrien@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 11:49 AM

To: Bord <br/>
<br/>
bord@pleanala.ie>

Subject: Case Number ABP-314485-22 - Observations

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir / Madam,

I refer to your letter dated 12 March 2024. Please find my observations regarding the submission dated 04 March 2024 from Tom Phillips and Associates on behalf of DAA plc.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine O'Brien



An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

RP. Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

Further to your correspondence to us on the above case we wish to make the following observations/submissions:

- 1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.
- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets? The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
- 5. The noise contours have not been extended to take account of actual traffic from the North Runway inbound and outbound from an easterly direction. These flight paths extend over the Kinsealey / Feltrim townlands, and the absence of noise contours related to the North Runway over these areas indicate that noise complaints submitted by the undersigned and others, and acknowledged by the DAA, have been ignored in developing these revised contour maps.
- 6. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be noresidential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.
- 7. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
- In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Yours Sincerely,

Sign: Cotherine O'Brien Date: 1/4/24

Address: 7, Myra Manor, Malahide, Co. Dublin, K36 D982